

Microsoft Metadirectory Services Concepts and Architecture

Operating System

Abstract

This document provides an overview of the capabilities and concepts behind Microsoft? Metadirectory S relationship to the concept of identity management.

The Problem of Identity Management

The metadirectory provides a solution to the problem of identity management.




Figure 1 The Identity Management Challenge

As illustrated in Figure 1, identity is the summary of information about people, applications, and resource directories and databases throughout most IT enterprises. Examples of identity data associated with people include mailboxes, salaries and job titles. Application identity information includes the network addresses where servers reside. It also includes lists of services that applications can provide. Network resources, such as printers, have attributes such as their location and the printing capabilities they support, for example.

The Identity Management Challenge

The diversity of identity data and the number of places where such data reside raise a number of management challenges.

- Not all identity data is kept in directories or exposed through a directory service interface such as LDAP. For example, many systems only expose identity information through application programming interfaces (APIs).
- Identity information frequently is duplicated in multiple places, and versions tend to drift out of sync if left unchecked.
- Typically, there is no single place where administrators and applications can access or manage an enterprise's identity information (sometimes called a join).

- The number of places where companies must manage identity data increases with each additional platform.

These challenges make it difficult for companies to implement comprehensive and integrated identity management. Leaving an enterprise environment in this state increases cost and complexity.

Common Identity Management Scenarios

Most large companies are already starting to grapple with some form of identity management project. Common scenarios include:

- **Global address book applications.** Synchronizing mailbox information between the different e-mail systems a company enables users to locate other users and send them e-mail across differing systems.
- **Hire/fire solutions.** Propagating information about a newly hired employee, such as title, role and location, to all systems that require identity data enables speedy establishment of services. Systems also must propagate information quickly in reverse when employees leave to prevent breaches of security.
- **E-commerce applications.** Synchronizing enterprise identity information, such as digital certificates, for extranet users, is enabled with directories that reside outside of firewalls.

Solution Requirements

In the past, many companies have tried to create a single directory to hold all enterprise identity information. These efforts failed for several simple reasons:

- Many applications cannot be modified easily to use directories.
- There are good reasons, such as various replication and security requirements, why some applications maintain identity in their own formats.
- Political boundaries inhibit complete consolidation regardless of what is technically possible.

This suggests that identity data will continue to exist in many places, and companies need to find ways to make directory services and application repositories work together. Assuming that there will be many identity management solutions, they must provide:

- Connectivity to many forms of identity data.
- Management of identity flow between repositories.
- Mechanisms for maintaining data integrity throughout the identity management infrastructure.

We discuss each of these issues in more detail below.

Connectivity




Figure 2 Connectivity Requirements

Connectivity requirements are simple: the more directory services, databases and applications to which identity solutions can connect, the more value they can offer. As illustrated in Figure 2 above, unknown data can be obtained from another. An identity management solution can connect to a given repository, if it is able to:

- Obtain information about what has changed in the repository.
- Add new objects to the repository.
- Delete objects from the repository.
- Change an existing object's attributes to different values.

To be a comprehensive solution, technologies should be able to connect to data in:

- Standards-based directory services via LDAP Version 3.
- Popular existing e-mail applications and non-LDAP directory services.
- Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) applications.
- Databases via access methods such as SQL.
- Applications in which the only interface to identity information is through application programming and no directory interface is available.

Information Management Flow

Information management flow is the process of managing the flow of identity information between repositories. Information management flow functionality must be able to:

- Detect changes to identity data and propagate updates to other repositories.
- Aggregate data from different repositories into metadirectories that contain a holistic view of identity across the enterprise.

Track related objects as they change their positions in directory trees and other repositories due to periodic




Figure 3 Change Event Processing

Change Event Processing

Change events occur any time administrators, users or applications add, delete or modify a piece of identity repository. Unmanaged, identity data changes quickly becomes disorganized. Identity management solutions provide features to detect changes, perform necessary data format translations and then update all repositories to reflect the changes. For example, if an administrator adds a new employee to the human resources (HR) system, this event needs to cause systems that the person will use to reflect the addition. In Figure 3 above, the change event is processed by the Information Management Engine, which then updates the other directories and applications.




Figure 4 Data Aggregation in a Metadirectory

Data Aggregation Capabilities

While identity information resides throughout most enterprises, directories that contain an aggregation of information from many other repositories can offer great value. This metadirectory concept was pioneered by The Burton Group, who used the term *join* to represent an aggregated view of an enterprise's identity data.

With a metadirectory, applications can access a variety of information in one place, using a single access model, instead of interacting with each of the source repositories. Metadirectories also maximize performance by storing data in indexed form. There is no need to fetch data from sources, which may reside across wide area network (WAN) connections, at runtime. To offer the greatest value, data aggregation capabilities must be able to

- Gather and incorporate information from many sources including directories, databases and applications

- Group related information together even though it may be stored in different ways in different places. For example, information about a user named Jeff Smith might be stored under names such as Jeff Smith, jsmith and smithj as seen in Figure 4 above.
- Push changes back out to sources when users or applications make changes to the aggregated view. Metadirectories must be integrated with change event processing infrastructures.




Figure 5 Tracking Related Objects

Related Object Tracking

When administrators deploy identity management solutions, they must be able to tell the identity management engine that Jeff Smith, jsmith and smithj are all the same person. Then, as seen in Figure 5, the engine must be able to track relationships as identity data is periodically reorganized. Solutions must not lose track of users simply because their position in a directory tree structure is moving from the Accounting department to the Sales group, for example.

Integrity Management

Integrity management is the process of ensuring that identity data does not become corrupt or out of sync across multiple repositories as changes occur. Integrity management functionality must be able to:

- Maintain identity data ownership relationships.
- Act appropriately when failures occur.
- Maintain referential integrity within identity data.




Figure 6 Managing Ownership Relationships

Ownership


An important aspect of enterprise identity management is recognizing ownership relationships that must exist between applications and data. For example, a person's mailbox name is owned by the e-mail system that created it. Within most companies, the HR system owns the data corresponding to whether or not a person is an active employee. If no enterprise identity management infrastructure is in place, these ownership relationships are preserved by other applications that have the ability to access and update e-mail and HR data. With synchronization and identity flow management deployed, however, the situation changes.

- Consider a case in which mailbox information is being synchronized with the HR directory by a connector, as shown in Figure 6 above. If the connector is not configured correctly, a user could change the mailbox attribute in the HR system and the connector would overwrite the mailbox value in the e-mail directory, causing trouble. Solving the problem is not as simple as just preventing changes from flowing backwards to the e-mail directory. The HR system may own information, such as the name of a person's manager, which must flow back to the e-mail directory. Other attributes, such as a person's office number, may have no clearly defined ownership. It is important to be data that anyone can update.

As a solution requirement, administrators must be able to define and enforce ownership relationships at the time a change is made. If a change is in accordance with the ownership rules, it is allowed to pass through; otherwise it is blocked or corrected. For example, if a person changed a mailbox attribute in the HR directory, the identity management solution would prevent the attribute from flowing back to the value contained in the e-mail directory.

Failure Management

The ability to propagate a change to multiple repositories is a key requirement for identity flow management. Yet, any time an engine makes multiple updates, the opportunity exists for one or more of the updates to fail, leaving different repositories to become inconsistent as illustrated in Figure 7 below. For example, if a person's title and spending limit are changed, but the metadirectory is unable to update the user's title in applications, identity management is in a state of confusion. Typically, this means that an administrator must investigate the situation and make corrections.

**Figure 7 Managing Failures and Maintaining Referential Integrity**

In database systems, this challenge is usually addressed with transactions that ensure all updates occur successfully or are rolled back as a unit. Unfortunately, most directory services and application programming interfaces do not support transactions. This means that identity management solutions must find other ways, such as using log-based mechanisms that continue to request changes until confirmed, to ensure that all repositories eventually have the same data.

Referential Integrity

Another challenge that identity management solutions share with databases is maintaining referential integrity in repositories. Referential integrity refers to the need to maintain relationships between the values of related different locations. For example, identity management solutions must be able to ensure that a person's title in a resources system is consistent with the person's spending limit in the procurement system. Databases support providing stored procedure and trigger features that enable administrators to execute a business rule each time a change occurs. Directory services do not provide similar features today. Therefore, identity management solutions lack the capability to execute business rules, which will reject changes that do not meet referential integrity requirements.

Only a metadirectory solution addresses all these issues.

The Metadirectory Solution

If Internet/intranet, proprietary e-mail, and other directories contain identity information about only *some* of the users, the metadirectory is capable of containing identity information about *everybody everywhere*. The metadirectory integrates any number of disparate identity repositories in virtually any format. Thus, the metadirectory becomes the root of identity information within the enterprise. The metadirectory provides the rationalized and unified objects that consist of attributes from a variety of directories. This integration enables you to lower administrative overhead, eliminate duplication, reduce discrepancies, and make the identity information widely available. The metadirectory is flexible enough to adapt itself to any enterprise's organization, structure, politics, and management styles; and it changes as they change.

Sources

The metadirectory collects its identity information from the other connected directories and repositories. Nearly all e-mail, database, and other directory applications can export their contents in some form. The metadirectory collects this data through file exchange, in an e-mail message, or through an on-line, protocol-driven transaction. An administrator or end user can add other metadirectory identity information.

Content

We usually think of directories as containing identity information about people, such as e-mail addresses and phone numbers. The metadirectory can contain much more information about any real-world objects. Objects may include:

- Physical, such as people or computers;
- Conceptual, such as organizations or departments;
- Geographic, such as countries or cities;
- Digital, such as document files for on-line viewing.

The only requirement of the metadirectory is that these objects be organized in some sort of hierarchical structure. For example, a person might be described as part of a department that is part of an organization that is located in a specific domain or a country. Or, in a multi-national corporation, an employee might be part of a division located in a specific country that falls under the corporation in the organizational tree.

A person is not necessarily the lowest level of the hierarchy. For example, a document or a portable computer that a person might also be represented by a directory entry below the person entry in the tree.

Management

The management of metadirectory contents and security can be centralized, distributed, or a combination. A metadirectory can be created so that changes to certain entries can be made only in the connected directory or the metadirectory. Changes to other entries may be made only in the metadirectory and then propagated to the directory. Different people can manage different portions of the metadirectory. This level of control extends to the entries themselves, but also to the individual attributes. Therefore, end users can manage parts of their own information, such as telephone numbers or addresses, for example. The metadirectory does not impose any restrictions; you can create a directory whose management matches the realities of your organization, its security and requirements.

Microsoft's Metadirectory

Microsoft has a metadirectory solution that has already been widely used to meet the challenges of enterprise management.

Its Origins

In July 1999, Microsoft purchased ZOOMIT Corporation. The ZOOMIT Corporation was known as the premier provider of a metadirectory solution. Through this purchase, Microsoft is able to provide a comprehensive platform for Microsoft Windows 2000 Server that includes Windows security, the Active Directory service, and metadirectory services. The ZOOMIT metadirectory solution addresses the problems discussed earlier in this document. Microsoft's metadirectory solution, Microsoft Metadirectory Services, will be completely integrated with distributed systems offerings, making it an even more powerful identity management tool.

Its History

Microsoft Metadirectory Services is thus an established product with a long history and an extensive user base. The ZOOMIT Corporation began shipping their ZOOMIT VIA 1.0 product in October of 1996.




Figure 8 ZOOMIT VIA Development Timeline

A beta version of ZOOMIT VIA 2.1 was shipped to several customers during the transition to Microsoft

release and subsequent releases will be referred to as Microsoft Metadirectory Services (MMS). Since MZOOMIT, two additional versions of MMS have been released: MMS version 2.1 in December 1999 and 2000. Many large organizations throughout the world now successfully use MMS in complex and demanding

The remainder of this document focuses on the current version, MMS 2.2. It covers the basic concepts of a flexible and powerful architecture, and shows how it can be used to solve complex, real-world problem management.

Microsoft Metadirectory Services

MMS provides an industry leading solution for the identity management problems such as enterprise add/hire/fire scenarios. Conceptually, the components of the metadirectory service include the connected directory connector namespace, metaverse and client as seen in Figure 9.




Figure 9 Microsoft Metadirectory Services Components

In this illustration, the Compass client is the administrative user interface that speaks LDAP to the metadirectory. The metadirectory also supports the HTTP protocol for convenient end-user access through Web browsers.

The Metadirectory Namespaces

The metadirectory is broken into two namespaces.

- The *connector space* is the area into which connected directory entries are first imported. Each connected directory has its own area in connector space. Connector space is a collection of special objects called *connector objects*. The difference between these two object classes is that a connector has an attribute filled in with the Name of the metaverse object it is connected to. A disconnector does not have this attribute filled in. A disconnector exists in the connector space merely as a placeholder to represent an entry in the connector space. The corresponding metaverse entry may or may not exist. The connectors establish a link between an entry in the metaverse and one in a connected directory, allowing synchronization and attribute flow. Disconnectors allow for synchronization. Connector space objects always appear under Management Agents in the metadirectory. They usually have many attributes populated. They primarily function as an intermediary between the metadirectory and a particular connected directory.
- The *metaverse* is that portion of the directory that presents the integrated view of joined objects from all connected directories. Most metaverse content comes from connected directories. But it is also possible to have metaverse objects with no connection to any connector space object or connected directory.

Consider the namespace represented by the following diagram:




Figure 10 The Namespace

In Figure 10, the object representing Jeff Smith in the metaverse contains properties from the objects represented in Microsoft Exchange and the Windows NT operating system. At one point, the object representing Jeff was joined to the metaverse representation; this object has since been disconnected. There is no Jeff Smith in the Vines metaverse. Also, Jeff Smith does not participate in the hire/fire scenario that is possible through TAMA (the Togeth Management Agent). TAMA is discussed in more detail later in this document.

In Figure 11 below, the Compass screen shots show the two namespaces side by side. The first is a view of the metaverse. It begins at the top with 'The Known Universe' and shows several branches of the metaverse tree. The last entry visible on the screenshot is 'mdserver', which represents the metadirectory server. The second screenshot shows the hierarchy beneath the 'mdserver' entry in more detail. This is where Management Agents, connector spaces are located. It is also where the schema is defined for the metadirectory, where replication information is kept, and where the default Administrator entry that controls the entire metadirectory is created.




Figure 11 Screen Shots of the Namespace

In the illustration, objects called Autos (a department) or Daniel Penn (a person) exist in both the connector metaverse. Those in the connector space are connectors, and they are distinguished from the corresponding metaverse object by a special icon. The connector space for this connected directory is that area under TI Insurance HR MA; other MAs, for the e-mail system, for example, might also contain a connector for D: connector space. The metaverse Daniel Penn object could hold attribute information from all of these so

Management Agents

The Meta Engine controls the interactions between a connected directory and the metadirectory. It contains the logic required to handle object creation and deletion, property integrity and history. It resolves property owner oscillation. These Meta Engine instructions are embodied in the metadirectory as the Management Agents (MAs), which are specialized objects containing the configuration parameters, control scripts, transformation rules, attribute rules that define how a connected directory will be integrated with the metadirectory.

The MAs manage the relationships between connected directories and the metadirectory's connector namespace. They reside on the MMS server and are connected directly to the internal configuration of the MA is different for each connected directory. An important note is that you must require you to install additional software on any of your connected directories or other systems.

The Synchronization Cycle

The MA is a directory object and service that sets up directory synchronization. It defines how the synchroni-

performed, and it performs the synchronization. A control script directs three separate phases of MA operation: synchronization and update phases. These phases are illustrated in Figure 12 below.




Figure 12 Management Agent Synchronization Phases

The discovery and update phases typically share code to bind to a connected directory and read and write information. The synchronization phase is the real heart of the MA. The synchronization phase uses import templates and attribute flow rules (which are stored as properties of the MA object) to determine the exact changes that must be applied to both the connected directory and the metadirectory.

MMS comes with MAs for the most commonly encountered types of identity information repositories such as directory, Windows NT, Microsoft Exchange, Banyan VINES, Netscape's directory service, Novell ND6, Notes and cc:Mail to name but a few. Optimized support for Active Directory has also been added. By default, MAs that come with MMS handle most of the common attributes that pertain to a given vendor's directory. For instance, the Notes MA, for instance, maps the Notes OfficeTelephoneNumber attribute to the LDAP telephoneNumber attribute, maps the Notes organizational structure to build a default hierarchy, and so on.

By modifying the scripts and templates, you can easily customize the supplied MAs to reflect any minor implementation of a connected directory type. For example, Exchange sites often use Exchange Custom Attributes to store specific information not included in the default Exchange directory schema. It is quite easy to customize the MA so that Exchange sees Custom-Attribute-1 as specialTelephoneNumber in the metadirectory, and manages it accordingly.

New MA types can be written using the information in the Management Agent Toolkit manual. New source information, many of them outside the traditional network operating system (NOS) and e-mail directory

integrated and managed by MMS. As long as the repository is a database, for instance, it can export information and can easily create an MA to read that file and synchronize the repository and the metadirectory.

Managing Changing Information

When identity information about a person (or other object) exists in one or more connected directories and is in the metadirectory, who maintains it? If changes are made in both the metadirectory and the connected directory, they will soon drift out of synchronization. MMS allows you to determine not only where objects can be created but also in which directory individual attributes of existing objects can be modified.

MAAs are scheduled to periodically compare the contents of the connected directory with the contents of the metadirectory. If the contents differ, the MA synchronizes them. The connected directory and the metadirectory can differ

- Objects may exist in one that do not exist in the other.
- Objects that exist in both may have different attribute values.

The MA reconciles these differences and keeps the two directories synchronized according to the configuration synchronization rules you establish.

Managing Objects

The MA *operating mode* determines where the creation and deletion of a metadirectory object is managed: in the connected directory (local management) or at the metadirectory (central management). As illustrated in Figure 1, three operating modes can be:

- Reflector. Additions and deletions in the connected directory are reflected in the namespace and metaverse.
- Creator. Additions and deletions in the metaverse are automatically performed in the connected directory.
- Association. Additions and deletions in the connected directory appear in the namespace but are not in the metaverse.




Figure 13 Management Agent Operating Modes

Local Management

When the MA is operating in *reflector mode*, the metadirectory simply reflects additions and deletions in the directory. *Association mode* is a special form of reflector mode in which the two directories are associated. Connected directory identity information is contained in the connector namespace, but it is not merged with information in the metaverse. Association mode is generally a transitional step to a reflector or creator mode to review the imported data before trying to join the connected directory objects into the metaverse.

Central Management

When the MA is operating in *creator mode*, objects can be created or deleted only in the metadirectory. Additions and deletions are then automatically performed in the connected directory. Should the connected directory get out of sync with the metadirectory, the MA will automatically re-synchronize by adding or deleting connected directory objects.

Managing Attributes

The MA operating mode determines only where *objects* can be created or deleted. *Attributes of existing objects* can be modified in either the metadirectory or the connected directory, regardless of the MA operating mode. When they differ, an *attribute flow rule* specifies whether the metadirectory or the connected directory is authoritative. If the rule is not defined, attribute flow rules can take effect, the connected directory object, through its connector space entry, must be used to update the metaverse entry.

The Join

The join establishes a link between a metaverse object and a specific connector space object. In linking the metaverse object to the connector space object, the join indirectly also links it to the connected directory. Objects can be joined automatically according to predefined join criteria, or interactively by the administrator.

A variety of join options is necessary for two reasons. First, there may be connected directories with entries that are merged in a common metaverse object. Second, there may be no sure way of knowing when a metaverse object and a particular connector space entry describe the same object. For example, there may be several Jeff Smiths each represented in a different connected directory. One person may appear in those directories under several names: Jeff Smith, J. Smith, Jsmith or Smith, Jeff Q. Some degree of administrator intervention is often required to resolve these kinds of ambiguities. But it is also true that in many cases there is no ambiguity. You can simply match a metaverse object's name or other attribute (employee number, for example).

There are, in fact, three different ways in which objects can be joined.

The *Join Action* in the Compass client lets you define an automated batch join, based on predetermined join criteria, and execute it whenever you want. This batch join is normally used when you first bring a new connected directory into the metaverse, perhaps in Association mode. Inevitably you will be left with exceptions: those connectors that fall between the cracks of the join criteria. They are left un-joined and remain *disconnectors* rather than joined objects. You can then use the stand-alone *Account Joiner* application to deal with these exceptions, by searching the metaverse for objects that match the disconnector or by creating a new metaverse object corresponding to the disconnector. But new objects may appear in the connected directory at any time. These also may have to be joined to metaverse objects. For this kind of ongoing management, you can configure the MA to automatically use join criteria when it creates a new disconnector (an un-joined connector). If there is no ambiguity, it can perform the join at the time of creation.

If you are going to allow joins to be made automatically, you must first define the rules, the join criteria Action and the MA join offer you a Configure the Join interface where you can do exactly that. See Figure example.




Figure 14 Configuring Join Rules

The Join Action looks at each disconnector in the connector space and searches for possible matches in the metaverse based on search attributes you specify. This search may return several matches. The Join Action then applies a join criteria to determine which, if any, of the possible joins it should accept. If it finds a suitable match, it establishes two entries automatically. Choosing the option, *Try to join before reflecting new entries*, tells an MA in the same join criteria (in addition to its usual techniques) to search for an existing matching metaverse object (reflecting) a new one. The Account Joiner, on the other hand, lets you define rules as you go, experimenting with search criteria until you find a matching object or decide to create one. You may then want to incorporate these search techniques into your join rules to handle such cases automatically in the future.

Attribute Flow Rules

When multiple MAs update the same metaverse object, their attribute flow rules must define which connector controls each attribute. If not, they will overwrite each other's changes. You must define which connector is the authoritative source for each attribute.




Figure 15 Metadirectory Attribute Flow

In the illustration in Figure 15 above, the telephone system is the authoritative source for a person's phone number. In MMS is set up so the telephone system connected directory is the only one that can modify the phone number. Any change to the phone number from the telephone system will be synchronized to other connected directories, such as Notes. If a user or administrator tries to change the telephone number in these connected directories, the telephone system will overwrite the changes.

These attribute flow assertions can be made within a simple point-and-click interface. See Figure 16 below.




Figure 16 Defining Attribute Flow

By simply selecting the attribute involved and clicking a directional flow button, you establish a simple 1-to-1 system MA:

```
$mv.telephoneNumber = $cd.telephoneNumber
```

This assertion states the phone number attribute in the metaverse should be set to the phone number attribute in the connected directory.

In the Exchange and Notes MAs, the corresponding attribute flow rule would be something like:

```
$cd.telephoneNumber = $mv.telephoneNumber
```

This assertion states the phone number attribute in the connected directory should be set to the value of the phone number attribute in the metaverse.

An Advanced Flow Script lets you deal with more complicated flow rules using simple script like conditions.

This level of control over attribute flow greatly enhances the metadirectory's distributed management capabilities. Identity information can be maintained where it makes the most sense. Global information (for example, email addresses) can be maintained centrally. And local information (for example, phone numbers) can be maintained locally.

Data transformations

MAs import directory update files from their connected directories and send update files back to them. The simple of MAs is direct attribute transfer sufficient. Among the complicating factors are:

- There may be no exact metadirectory equivalent of certain connected directory attributes.
- The connected directory information may be in a different format than that required by the metadirectory.
- It may take more than one attribute within the connected directory to match a metadirectory attribute.
- Additional metadirectory attribute information will almost certainly be necessary to ensure that the information is consistent within the whole metadirectory.
- The connected directory may contain objects that you do not want to import into the metadirectory.

MAs use templates to determine how to input and output attribute values. Templates are written in a high-level language that the *importt* program interprets and acts upon when importing or exporting metadirectory data.

The MA template language therefore provides the capability to:

- Perform simple direct modifications on attributes.
- Use built-in functions to transform attributes.
- Obtain additional information from other objects in the metadirectory.
- Provide control over template execution through conditional control structures.
- Define metadirectory objects to be included or excluded in a directory update.

The leftmost portion of **Table 1** below shows a record exported by the cc:Mail Export/Import utility during the phase for import into the metadirectory. On the right is the parsing template that describes it in terms of attributes and temporary variables. You can see in general terms how attribute substitution is defined.

Table 1

File Contents	Template
Name: Dunn, Matt	Name: \$v_surname,\$v_givenName
Locn: L	Locn: \$cd.zcCcLocation
Addr: ccmPO	Addr: \$cd.zcCcPostOffice
Cmts:	Cmts: \$cd.description

It is evident that this connected directory does not export very much attribute information. We need far more to construct a full metadirectory entry. We need values for all the attributes that make up the entry's Distinguished Name, as well as its object class. These other attributes must be constructed from identity information and other information known to the MA. Each MA, therefore, also has a set of construction templates to generate parsing templates.

The following excerpt from a construction template suggests how this kind of information is created.

Table 2

```
If $cd.zcCcLocation = P (i.e., it is a PostOffice entry)
then
$mv.zcoc = organizationalUnit (object class)
$cs.zcoc = zcCcMailPostOffice,zcAliasThing,Top
$mv.organizationalUnitName = $v_surname(,$v_givenName)
...
```

```

else
$mv.zcoc = zcPerson
$cs.zcoc = zcCcMailBox, zcAliasThing, Top
$mv.commonName = $get_substring("$v_givenName\
(^ $v_surname)", "", "@")
endIf

```

You do not have to understand the details of the template in **Table 2** to grasp how it can be used to control how information gets in and out of the metadirectory, some directly from the connected directory, some from

It is clear that a reflector MA creating a new metaverse object would use the construction template to do so. What if a corresponding metaverse object already exists and is joined to the connector? What about the attribute flow rules? The construction templates define projected or potential attribute values which might have to be set. The flow rules determine which ones actually are set by a particular MA. The flow rules thus supplement the template. In our example the construction template in our example assigns a value to the common name attribute of the metaverse object (\$mv.commonName) based on information from the connected directory. It must reflect a new metaverse situation that the attribute flow rules come into play. They are not separate from the templates but supplement

A metaverse object, however, is not simply a collection of all the attributes of all its connected directories. You present to the world only the information the world needs to see. Easily modifiable templates and flow rules can be selective and discriminating. You create metaverse objects, depending on the use of the metadirectory. The original directories remain in place, performing their original roles. The metadirectory goes beyond the connectors but does not replace them unless you choose to.

Enabling the Hire/Fire Scenario

A key role for a metadirectory service with enterprise customers is programmatically updating access to resources during an employee's term with a company. When an employee is hired, he or she will require resources such as files and printers. The employee also needs services such as e-mail. When the employee goes through reorganization, different access rights might be needed and different services might be required.

MMS supports this scenario through its Together Administration Management Agent (TAMA). TAMA is a MA that can manage and coordinate the activities of several other standard MAs. This capability allows multiple connected namespaces to ensure that connectors, and by extension, accounts are created in the correct namespace. Typically its activity is initiated when new entries are created in a particular connector namespace. TAMA coordinates corresponding connectors under different MAs to provision accounts in different connected directories. If a new entry in the HR connector space could cause a new Windows NT account to be created, an Exchange mailbox and perhaps a Lotus Notes ID to be created as well. Using the same scripting language as other MAs, TAMA has precise control over when and where these new accounts will be created. Conversely, if someone leaves the company (is, they are removed from the HR system), TAMA can ensure that all of the associated accounts in the different directories are cleaned up and deleted.

Putting Metadirectory Services to Work

The following examples show how MMS has been put to work in the real world to solve real enterprise problems. We look out how the metadirectory has been used to implement the two key scenarios enabled by MMS: change management and access control. The example companies, organizations, products, people and events depicted herein are fictitious. No company, organization, product, person or event is intended or should be inferred.

Northwind Traders

Northwind Traders is a conglomerate of companies, large and small in a worldwide holding corporation. They use different kinds of systems, including Lotus Notes, Netscape, GroupWise and Exchange. In their metadirectory, these systems are connected to the head office metadirectory server both through a WAN and over the Internet.




Figure 17 A Conceptual View of Northwind Traders

Figure 17 above provides a conceptual view. However, the simple illustration above does not portray the political implications involved in managing such an environment.

In England, there is a metadirectory service which brings together a number of different systems that are companies owned by Northwind Traders. And because it is a holding corporation, there are many, highly business units, not only in England but around the world. The people who run the metadirectory service are able to bring the different systems together and even integrate them with the central HR system. Similarly, in Egypt, and in a number of other countries, the affiliated companies run different e-mail packages like Exchange whose attribute flows are integrated with the metadirectory in England.

Connected directories are managed locally and reflected in the metadirectory. The metadirectory service directory synchronization engine enabling local users to see the entire corporate address book. Only the metadirectory actually access the metaverse directly.

With Microsoft metadirectory technology, Northwind Traders did not have to deploy expertise in all of these countries. It was able to set up the connections over the Internet so that, without any local expertise, Northwind Traders could achieve the desired flow of information and attributes.

Interestingly, the holding company also holds an American corporation which is on virtually the same scale as the British corporation. The Americans had no intent of sacrificing their local autonomy to the British corporation's metadirectory service was installed in the U.S.

The following diagram, Figure 18, better represents the current reality within Northwind Traders.




Figure 18 A More Realistic View of Northwind Traders

Not only is there local management at the connected directory level, but there is also regional management at the metadirectory server level. The two servers each manage part of the world, and then they exchange information for metadirectory replication. So each contains a complete representation of the corporate directory. The result is that whether in Cairo or in Chicago, is a full, accurate and always up-to-date address book. And the administrator has a seamless, integrated view of the entire company in all its complexity.

Coast Appliances Corporation

The Coast Appliances Corporation is an organization that required a hire/fire scenario in which HR could manage people in the metadirectory. The metadirectory would then integrate the information on each person who was within the telephone system, the e-mail system, RACF, certificate systems, and other data stores through LDAP. While conceptually simple, this project became very complicated because it had to deal with the messy

When the Coast Appliances Corporation began this project, there was no way to easily create a join between information that resided in each of these data sources. The data was very difficult and very dirty. In fact, one interesting thing about the person information was that only 65 percent or less was found in any of the systems. It is even more interesting because the person information wasn't the same 65 percent in any of the systems: 65 percent was in telephone, another 65 percent was in HR (because there were a lot of contractors and people in other systems). And 40 percent was in RACF.

How does the Coast Appliances Corporation perform a join in a situation like this? If a rule is set up to update an attribute from the HR store, then the people from the telephone system who aren't in HR aren't joined. This

the people within the telephone system.

The Coast Appliances Corporation needed a fairly sophisticated way to join the people information. The with the HR system and brought its 42,000 employee objects into the metadirectory to provide the base. Appliances Corporation focused on the telephone system which had 45,500 user objects. When the telep. objects were imported into the metadirectory, 34,000 of them were automatically batch-joined with exist in the HR system. But 11,500 of them were not represented in the HR system and, consequently, the met the HR MA and the telephone MA were running in reflector mode, 11,500 new people objects were add. The telephone MA was configured to *Try to join before reflecting* and the join rule was to join on comm. telephone system objects whose common names matched names already in the metaverse (from the HR : to them. For the rest, new metaverse objects were reflected using the telephone system common names. however, simply accepted and merged. Those new objects reflected by the telephone MA were initially p part of the metadirectory tree where they could be examined, accepted or rejected, and eventually moved organizational location.




Figure 19 Coast Appliances Corporation's Metadirectory Join

After integrating the HR and telephone systems, the Coast Appliances Corporation turned its attention to Based on the join rules noted above, 16,000 of the user objects were batch-joined. Eventually 4,000 beca metaverse. Some entries represented persons, others represented functions or roles. It was interesting tha objects in the RACF account system were found to be irrelevant. They belonged to users who no longer with the Coast Appliances Corporation. Many of the jobs that were actually running in the computer cen run under the permissions of users who had left the organization. And a certain amount of mainframe cap reclaimed because of the rationalization process which explored all of these irrelevant and unused accoun illustrated in Figure 19 above.

By the end of identity information integration with just these three systems, the Coast Appliances Corpora rationalize 49,500 identity objects. It then tackled the other systems and progressively made sense out of of this process, the Coast Appliances Corporation had integrated its identity information and found a trer

erroneous information in all of these systems. Also, it discovered that some of the identity information was under the control of the people who managed it nor under the control of the people who were being referenced.

Obviously, joining identity information across isolated data sources within a large enterprise is not always easy. Obviously, metadirectory services can automate much of the process and highlight problems and inconsistencies. The installation of a metadirectory solution brings its own benefits and cost savings because it allows you to clear up dirty information. Once fully implemented, the metadirectory service makes many of those savings ongoing. It provides a reliable, integrated identity base on which other initiatives and applications can be built. For example, the Corporation took advantage of the metadirectory to centrally generate unique IDs for every employee and for all of the local administrators, flow that attribute back to all the connected directories. Such a program would be unmanageable if not unthinkable without the metadirectory.

Metadirectory Services Enhances Active Directory

Microsoft's goal is to use metadirectory services to enhance Active Directory, providing a comprehensive platform. Many Windows 2000-based applications are Active Directory enabled. End-users can find the location-based printing feature. Applications and service policies can be centrally managed from Active Directory and then downloaded to a group of end users. Exchange 2000 replaces the Exchange directory with Active Directory. DNS (Domain Name System) is tightly integrated with Active Directory. These are a few of the great innovations that Microsoft's enterprise customers can enjoy with Active Directory deployments.

Microsoft Metadirectory Services enhances Active Directory by providing such services as:

- Synchronization of multiple connected directories within a centrally managed hub-and-spoke model.
- Programmatically joining multiple views of an object into one unified view. Although it is unrealistic to expect an enterprise's identity information can be programmatically joined 100 percent of the time, MMS's flexible view of identity information greatly simplifies this important step.
- Setting a connected directory as the authoritative source for an attribute.
- Integration with the business process through support of the hire/fire scenario.
- A simple and flexible environment that allows short scripts to be added for customization within a given environment.

Future releases of MMS will further integrate with Active Directory while at the same time being enhanced by customer scenarios. The MMS 2.2 release (available July, 2000) provides an optimized Active Directory that takes advantage of the Active Directory advanced replication protocol to detect changes and copy them in real-time directly into Active Directory. Hence, Active Directory can be used as the primary administrative database for metaverse objects.

Future integration plans with Active Directory include integration with the Windows 2000 authentication system and the overall integration of MMS within the Windows 2000 Server platform.

The combination of Active Directory and MMS is a compelling solution for a distributed systems platform. It provides accounts. Microsoft Metadirectory Services is a great enhancement to Microsoft's Windows Server distribution offering.

Summary

Managing identity data in a modern enterprise network presents many challenges. Identity data comes in many forms and may be scattered in several repositories. A metadirectory collects all the identity data in one place and provides a unified view.

managing the data, regardless of its format. A metadirectory allows a business to reduce the cost of administration, reclaim wasted network capacity, resolve discrepancies in the data, and make the data conveniently available. Microsoft Metadirectory Services, which evolved from ZOOMIT VIA, provides a solution for the identity management challenges faced by modern enterprises. It allows an enterprise to manage data at the local level, or at a central location. Or, an enterprise may choose a combination of management. The metadirectory can provide an accurate, always up-to-date record of information about things such as addresses, phone numbers, e-mail, departmental titles and document files.

For the latest information on Windows 2000 Server and Active Directory, check out our Web site at <http://www.microsoft.com/windows2000/technologies/directory/ad/default.asp>.

For further information on Microsoft Metadirectory Services visit <http://www.microsoft.com/windows2000/technologies/directory/default.asp>.

The information contained in this document represents the current view of Microsoft Corporation on the date of publication. Because Microsoft must respond to changing market conditions, it should not be taken as a commitment on the part of Microsoft, and Microsoft cannot guarantee the accuracy of any information published after the date of publication.

This White Paper is for informational purposes only. MICROSOFT MAKES NO WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, REGARDING THE INFORMATION IN THIS DOCUMENT. Complying with all applicable copyright laws is the responsibility of the user.

Without limiting the rights under copyright, no part of this document may be reproduced, stored in or retrieved from a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or for any purpose, without the express written permission of Microsoft Corporation.

Microsoft may have patents, patent applications, trademarks, copyrights, or other intellectual property rights in subject matter in this document. Except as expressly provided in any written license agreement from Microsoft, the use of this document does not give you any license to these patents, trademarks, copyrights, or other intellectual property.

The example companies, organizations, products, people and events depicted herein are fictitious. No actual company, organization, product, person or event is intended or should be inferred.

© 2000 Microsoft Corporation. All rights reserved. Microsoft, Active Directory, Windows, and Windows NT are registered trademarks or trademarks of Microsoft Corporation in the United States and/or other countries. All other names, actual companies and products mentioned herein may be the trademarks of their respective owners.